

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNIFED:STATES:DEPARTMENT-OFICOMMERCER United/States:Patent and Trademark Office: 3 Address:COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR PATENT

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO.                    | FILING DATE        | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/750,223                         | 12/27/2000         | Robert H. Daniels    | 5100-0005               | 6599             |  |
| 20855 7:                           | 590 11/28/2003     |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
|                                    | ROBINS & PASTERNAK |                      |                         | COUNTS, GARY W   |  |
| 1731 EMBARCADERO ROAD<br>SUITE 230 |                    | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER            |                  |  |
| PALO ALTO, CA 94303                |                    |                      | 1641                    |                  |  |
|                                    |                    |                      | DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action  | 09/750,223   | DANIELS ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action  | Examin r   | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gary W. Counts   | 1641   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the corresponding address   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | void abandonment of this applice  1) a timely filed amendment whi  | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in   |  |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the status of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th<br>an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH<br>te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1<br>sion and the corresponding amount of the<br>I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be  | ecause:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:  | ing a corresponding number of  | finally rejected claims.   |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection  | etion(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: see attached.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | cause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which were newly   |  |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Nove   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: Nne.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10.☐ Other:  |  | 20 13  |  |  |  |  |
| John   |  | Hay and  |  |  |  |  |
| Long V. Le<br>Supervisory Patent Exami<br>Technology Center 160  | -  | Gary W. Counts<br>Examiner<br>Art Unit: 1641   |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)



Application/Control Number: 09/750,223

Art Unit: 1641

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Attachment to Advisory Action

Continuation of 5 NOTE: Applicant requests that pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 103(c),

Bruchez is disqualified as prior art since the subject matter of Bruchez and the claimed invention were, at the time the inventions were made were owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. Applicant provides

Declaration of Kenneth Barovsky, vice President and Intellectual Property Counsel of the assignee of this application and of the Bruchez patent. This is not found persuasive because there is no evidence in the application why evidence of a 103(c) was not presented earlier. Further, the supplemental declaration creates an issue of inconsistency concerning the declarations (i.e. Declaration filed April 28, 2003 in paper no. 11 states Bruchez is not an inventor and the supplemental declaration filed October 10, 2003 states that Bruchez is an inventor). Furthermore, while the declaration implicates 103(c) and therefore would likely remove Bruchez as prior art, additional references teaching the advantages of quantum dots as a label are well known in the art and would not place the application into condition for allowance.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary W. Counts whose telephone number is (703) 305-1444. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 - 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (703) 305-3399. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-4242.



Application/Control Number: 09/750,223

Art Unit: 1641

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Jany Counts

Examiner

Art Unit 1641

November 10, 2003